### STATISTICS FOR THE SUPREME COURT'S OCTOBER TERM 2000

Prepared by Thomas C. Goldstein Attorney - Washington, D.C.

#### INTRODUCTION

This compilation of Supreme Court statistics provides a summary view of the recently completed Supreme Court term by reporting how the Court disposed of cases, as well as the degree to which the Justices agreed with each other. Readers are cautioned, however, that these statistics can give only the broadest overview of the term and cannot in any sense replace serious study of the Court's individual decisions. More detailed statistics on the justices' voting relationships will appear in a later issue.

#### STATISTICAL BREAKDOWN OF PUBLISHED OPINIONS

Decided Cases: N	lethod of Dis	position						
After argument ar by signed opinion			r argument and out signed ion	2	On the	briefs	6	
Splits in Decided	Cases							
Unanimous	25	9-0 or 8-0	11	8-1	7	7-2 or 5-2	6	
6-3	10	5-4	26					
Treatment of the	Lower Court							
Lower court	51	Lower court	26	Lower court	4	Other	4	

#### **OPINION AUTHORSHIP**

part

vacated in part and affirmed in

vacated

Opinion Author	ship: Tota	Number of Opini	ons						
Rehnquist	14	Stevens	31	O'Connor	17	Scalia	28	Kennedy	14
Souter	19	Thomas	22	Ginsburg	20	Breyer	24		<del></del> ,
<b>Opinion Author</b>	ship: Maj	ority Opinions							
Per Curiam	8	Rehnquist	9	Stevens	9	O'Connor	9	Scalia	8
Kennedy	8	Souter	8	Thomas	8	Ginsburg	9	Breyer	9
<b>Opinion Author</b>	ship: Plur	ality or Plurality-L	ke Opinion	18					
Per Curiam	0	Rehnquist	0	Stevens	0	O'Connor	0	Scalia	0
Kennedy	0	Souter	0	Thomas	0	Ginsburg	0	Breyer	0
<b>Opinion Author</b>	ship: Con	curring Opinions							
Rehnquist	2	Stevens	6	O'Connor	3	Scalia	10	Kennedy	5
Souter	6	Thomas	8	Ginsburg	6	Breyer	3		
<b>Opinion Author</b>	ship: Diss	enting Opinions						·····	
Rehnquist	3	Stevens	16	O'Connor	5	Scalia	10	Kennedy	1
Souter	5	Thomas	6	Ginsburg	6	Breyer	12		
<b>Opinion Author</b>	ship: Una	nimous Majority C	pinions						
Per Curiam	5	Rehnquist	2	Stevens	1	O'Connor	1	Scalia	2
Kennedy	2	Souter	2	Thomas	2	Ginsburg	5	Breyer	3

#### **DISSENTING VOTES**

Dissenting Vot	es: Total N	lumber							
Rehnquist	14	Stevens	25	O'Connor	8	Scalia	18	Kennedy	7
Souter	17	Thomas	17	Ginsburg	20	Breyer	22		
Dissenting Vot	es: Numbe	er of Times the o	nly Dissente	er in a Case					
Rehnquist	0	Stevens	4	O'Connor	0	Scalia	1	Kennedy	0
Souter	0	Thomas	0	Ginsburg	1	Breyer	1		

# $\bigcirc\bigcirc\bigcirc$

#### **FIVE-TO-FOUR CASES**

Number of cas	ses (either	entirely 5-4 or 5	4 on a majo	or issue)	26						
Five-to-Four C	ases: Align	ments									
WHR, SOC, AS	S, AMK, CT	T			14						
JPS, SOC, DS,	RBG, SGB				5						
JPS, AMK, DS	, RBG, SG	3			3						
WHR, JPS, AS	, AMK, CT				1						
WHR, AS, AM	K, DS, CT				1						
WHR, SOC, A	MK, DS, RE	3G			1						
AS, DS, CT, RBG, SGB					1						
Five-to-Four C	ases: Auth	orship of the Opi	nion								
Five-to-Four C	<b>ases: Auth</b> 5	orship of the Opi	nion 2	O'Connor	3	Scalia	3	Kennedy	5		
·				O'Connor Ginsburg	3 0	Scalia Breyer	3 2	Kennedy PC	5 1		
Rehnquist Souter	5 4	Stevens	2 1				<del>-</del>				
Rehnquist Souter	5 4	Stevens Thomas	2 1				<del>-</del>				



## VOTING RELATIONSHIPS FOR ALL CASES O'Conner | Scalie | Kennedy | Souter | Thomas | Ginsburg |

	Stevens	O'Connor	Scalia	Kennedy	Souter	Thomas	Ginsburg	Breyer
	84	83	85	85	84	85	85	84
lah mandat	40 - 48%	61 - 73%	59 - 69%	70 - 82%	44 - 52%	59 - 69%	42 - 49%	41 - 49%
lehnquist	10 - 60%	10 - 86%	16 - 88%	8 - 92%	13 - 68%	16 - 88%	11 - 62%	9 - 60%
	4 - 64%	0 - 86%	4 - 93%	1 - 93%	1 - 69%	5 - 94%	2 - 65%	3 - 63%
	30 - 36%	12 - 14%	6 - 7%	6 - 7%	26 - 31%	5 - 6%	30 - 35%	31 - 37%
		83	84	84	83	84	84	83
	0.	46 - 55%	30 - 36%	45 - 54%	58 - 70%	31 - 37%	63 - 75%	62 - 75%
	Stevens	10 - 67%	14 - 52%	11 - 67%	9 - 81%	17 - 57%	4 - 80%	7 - 83%
		3 - 71%	6 - 60%	4 - 71%	4 - 86%	3 - 61%	4 - 85%	4 - 88%
		24 - 29%	34 - 40%	24 - 29%	12 - 14%	33 - 39%	13 - 15%	10 - 12%
		<del></del>	83	83	82	83	83	82
			45 - 54%	60 - 72%	48 - 59%	46 - 55%	51 - 61%	51 - 62%
		O'Connor	18 - 76%	9 - 83%	15 - 77%	18 - 77%	12 - 76%	11 - 76%
			4 - 81%	2 - 86%	1 - 78%	4 - 82%	2 - 78%	2 - 78%
			16 - 19%	12 - 14%	18 - 22%	15 - 18%	18 - 22%	18 - 22%
				85	84	85	85	84
				52 - 61%	36 - 43%	65 - 76%	36 - 42%	34 - 40%
			Scalia	18 - 82%	17 - 63%	13 - 92%	14 - 59%	14 - 57%
•				3 - 86%	3 - 67%	6 - 99%	3 - 62%	5 - 63%
				12 - 14%	28 - 33%	1 - 1%	32 - 38%	31 - 37%
				<u> </u>	84	85	85	84
				V d	52 - 62%	55 - 65%	47 - 55%	45 - 54%
				Kennedy	11 - 75%	15 - 82%	12 - 69%	11 - 67%
					1 - 76%	4 - 87%	2 - 72%	3 - 70%
					20 - 24%	11 - 13%	24 - 28%	25 - 30%
						84	84	83
					<b>6</b> .	37 - 44%	66 - 79%	59 - 71%
		KEY			Souter	16 - 63%	13 - 94%	12 - 86%
Total No.	of Cases Toget	her			1	4 - 68%	0 - 94%	4 - 90%
					ļ	27 - 32%	5 - 6%	8 - 10%
No. and %	of Times Agre	eed in Full		·	1		85	84
	•					Thomas	32 - 38%	32 - 38%
No. of Tin	nes Agreed in l	Part &			1	1 BOH#2	17 - 58%	16 - 57%
	s A greed in Fu						5 - 64%	6 - 64%
							31 - 36%	30 - 36%
No. of Tin	nes A greed in t	he Judgment &			1		*	84
% of Time	es Agreed in F	ull, in Part, or in	the Judgment				Cinchus	68 - 81%
							Ginsburg	7 - 89%
					┥			4 - 94%
No. and %	of Times Disa	agreed Complete	ely .					4 - 9470